INTERNATIONAL INSIGHTS
JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

www.insightresearch.org.in
Multidisciplinary, Multi-Lingual, Peer Reviewed /Refereed
Open Access Journal
Volume-2, Issue 2, April-June 2025
ISSN : 3049-0847 (E)
IMPACT FACTOR : 7.725
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20840885

Exploring Ecofeminist Thought through the Integration of Kantian and Gandhian Ethics

Tiasa Mondal
M.A. in Philosophy
Rabindra Bharati University
Kolkata, West Bengal, India
Email ID: tiasamondal1998@gmail.com

Abstract:

Contemporary environmental crises, particularly climate change, the excessive use of natural resources, and **environmental destruction,** are not merely scientific or economic problems; rather, they constitute a profound **moral crisis**. Ecofeminist thinker **Vandana Shiva** has shown that patriarchal development paradigms transform nature into a "resource," thereby initiating parallel forms of exploitation of both ***women and nature***. In this context, Kant's moral law and categorical imperative—namely, not deceiving others and not causing harm to others—and Gandhi's view that violence must be renounced not only in its physical form but also in thought and speech, become newly relevant. For Gandhi, **Ahimsa** is not passive; rather, it is peaceful resistance against injustice, which he termed **Satyagraha**. The only ethical means of establishing truth is non-violence. In this regard, the ethical philosophies of Kant and Gandhi acquire renewed significance. ***The primary objective of this research is to explore three questions***: **1. What are the fundamental similarities and differences between Immanuel Kant's concept of the Kingdom of Ends and Mahatma Gandhi's concept of Ahimsa with regard to the principle of "non-harm"? 2. Can a structural integration of these two principles make Ecofeminist thought theoretically more coherent and explanatorily more robust? 3. Can the integrated conception of Kant's Kingdom of Ends and Gandhi's Ahimsa establish a dual moral responsibility toward future generations based on "non-harm" and "non-violence"?** Methodologically, this study follows a philosophical-analytical approach, involving a comparative analysis of primary texts and contemporary interpretations. **The principal theoretical contribution of this research** is that Kant's categorical imperative establishes a minimum moral boundary within which "not causing harm" and "not treating others merely as means" are obligatory. However, because this framework is anthropocentric, direct moral responsibility toward nature remains limited. In contrast, Gandhi's Ahimsa is a broader ethical practice that involves not only the avoidance of violence but also active compassion, self-discipline, and the moral unity of means and ends. Ecofeminism introduces an additional dimension to these frameworks by placing the interrelationship among women, nature, and marginalized groups at the center of ethical reflection. **Gandhi's concept of Ahimsa transcends Kant's condition and develops it into a positive, relational ethical ideal**. This integrated framework is particularly significant in the context of intergenerational ethics because it establishes a dual moral obligation toward future generations based on both "not causing harm" and "avoiding violence." Consequently, this research not only strengthens the theoretical foundations of ecofeminist ethics but also provides an integrated moral interpretation as a means of addressing contemporary crises affecting women and the environment.

Keywords: Mahatma Gandhi, Immanuel Kant, Ecofeminism, Non-violence, Means, Ends

Introduction:

Ecofeminism has increasingly gained importance as a significant analytical framework for interpreting contemporary environmental crises, identifying the parallel exploitation of women and nature as a consequence of patriarchal domination. In particular, Vandana Shiva argues that modern development discourse constructs nature as a "usable resource," thereby expanding exploitative power structures and accelerating environmental crises. In this context, climate change, environmental degradation, and the excessive use of natural resources are not merely scientific or economic issues; rather, they raise profound moral and philosophical questions. Consequently, the ethical

philosophies of Immanuel Kant and Mahatma Gandhi acquire renewed relevance in addressing this moral crisis. At the next stage of this discussion, it becomes evident that Kant's ethical philosophy establishes "moral duty"—specifically, the unconditional duty not to harm others—as a universal and inviolable moral boundary. According to Kant, no rational being should ever be treated merely as a means; rather, every person must always be respected as an end in themselves. Although Kant did not explicitly formulate a theory concerning the environment or ecofeminism, his principles have been regarded as providing a fundamental ethical basis for determining responsibilities toward nature and future generations. In contrast, Gandhi's concept of Ahimsa is not merely a negative injunction against violence; rather, it is an integrated ethical practice operating at the levels of thought, speech, and action, grounded in compassion toward all living beings, self-discipline, and moral responsibility. In Gandhi's view, morality is not confined to the end alone; rather, the essence of morality resides equally in the means through which that end is achieved.Against the backdrop of the above theoretical framework, the present study raises the following three fundamental research questions: **(1) What fundamental moral similarities and differences exist between Immanuel Kant's *ethical* philosophy and Mahatma Gandhi's concept of *Ahimsa*? (2) Can a structural integration of these two principles strengthen and make more effective the theoretical foundations of *Ecofeminism*? (3) Can such an integrated ethical framework establish our moral responsibility toward *future generations* more firmly within the context of intergenerational ethics?** Thus, the present study explores a possible theoretical synthesis between Kant's conception of minimum moral limits and Gandhi's broader practice of non-violent ethics. From an ***ecofeminist perspective***, this synthesis may contribute to the construction of a more coherent and relational understanding of morality.

Literature review:

Existing studies on Ecofeminism have primarily focused on the theoretical analysis of the parallel exploitation of women and nature. In Staying Alive (1988), Vandana Shiva demonstrates that modern development thinking treats nature merely as an economic resource, resulting in environmental destruction and the exploitation of marginalized women within the same structural framework. Similarly, in Ecofeminism (1993/2014), Maria Mies and Vandana Shiva criticize the patriarchal capitalist system and argue that the domination of nature and women is the outcome of a common political-economic process. Karen J. Warren (2000) develops a philosophical foundation for ecofeminist thought by explaining the ethical structure of oppression through the concept of the "logic of domination."On the other hand, although extensive research has been conducted on Immanuel Kant's ethical philosophy, debates remain regarding its relevance to environmental ethics. In Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals (1785/1998), Kant's "Formula of Humanity" within the categorical imperative states that every rational being must be treated as an "end in itself." Allen Wood (1998, 2008) argues that although Kant does not directly recognize duties toward nature, his ethical theory can indirectly be employed to determine environmental responsibilities. Onora O'Neill (1996), in explaining the applicability of Kantian ethics, demonstrates that the principle of not treating others merely as means constitutes a fundamental basis of social and moral relationships. Furthermore, Thomas Svoboda (2015) provides a contemporary interpretation of Kantian environmental ethics, extending duties toward nature through moral reasoning.Research on Mahatma Gandhi's ethical philosophy, particularly Ahimsa, has largely developed within the context of his political and ethical practices. In Hind Swaraj (1909/2009) and An Autobiography (1993), Gandhi presents Ahimsa as a comprehensive ethical principle involving not merely the rejection of violence but also truth, self-purification, and moral self-discipline. R. N. Iyer (1973) and K. S. Murti (1989), in their analyses of Gandhi's ethical and political thought, demonstrate that means and ends are inseparably connected within his philosophy. T. Weber (1999) evaluates Gandhi as an environmental thinker, arguing that his advocacy of simple living and non-violence is compatible with ecological balance.In the field of intergenerational ethics, Stephen M. Gardiner's A Perfect Moral Storm (2011) makes a significant contribution by identifying climate change as a moral crisis and raising the issue of responsibility toward future generations. Arne Naess's (1989) concept of deep ecology and its eco-centric perspective further broaden the scope of environmental ethics, sharing certain affinities with ecofeminism.The above review of literature makes it evident that Ecofeminism, Kantian ethics, and Gandhian philosophy have each undergone significant theoretical development as separate fields of study. However, a systematic integration of Kant's Kingdom of Ends and Gandhi's Ahimsa, particularly within the context of ecofeminism and ethics, remains comparatively underexplored in existing scholarship. The present research seeks to address this theoretical gap.

Discussion:

Human conscience or Practical Reason enables individuals to distinguish between good and evil and motivates them to perform moral actions solely for the sake of duty, independent of any worldly interest. According to **Kant's Categorical Imperative, a person should act only according to that maxim which can be willed as a universal law applicable to everyone, and in which other human beings are treated not merely as "means" but as "ends" possessing inherent dignity**. In the sphere of politics and the state, Kant advocated Republicanism and the rule of moral law, where citizens would live not as subjects of a monarch but as autonomous beings governed by laws to which they have given their own consent.This research paper proposes a structural integration of **Immanuel Kant's Kingdom of Ends** and **Mahatma Gandhi's principle of Ahimsa, reconsidered through the lens of Ecofeminism**. The central argument of this discussion is that the Categorical Imperative establishes a minimum moral boundary, while Ahimsa extends that boundary into a positive and relational ethical ideal. It is important to note that this proposal is a reinterpretation of existing theories rather than a newly established theory.First, Kant's Kingdom of Ends is fundamentally prohibitive in character. The principles of "not causing harm" and "not treating others merely as means" establish a basic moral foundation. However, this framework remains largely anthropocentric because Kant restricts moral dignity primarily to rational beings. Consequently, his ethical theory is not directly applicable to nature or non-human entities and must instead be interpreted indirectly. This limitation constitutes an important area of ecofeminist criticism.In contrast, Gandhi's concept of Ahimsa represents a broader ethical practice grounded not merely in the avoidance of violence but also in active compassion, self-purification, and responsibility toward all living beings. Gandhi's conception of the inseparable relationship between "means and ends" is particularly significant in this discussion because it emphasizes the process-oriented dimension of morality. In other words, morality concerns not only outcomes but also the methods through which those outcomes are achieved. This perspective transcends the limitations of Kant's framework and creates a more comprehensive moral sphere.Within this context, Ecofeminism introduces a third dimension by emphasizing relational ethics. It places women, nature, and marginalized communities at the center of moral analysis. According to Vandana Shiva's analysis, modern development structures treat nature as a "resource," which can be interpreted as "instrumentalization" in Kantian terms and as "violence" in Gandhian terms. This dual interpretation strengthens ecofeminist critique at a theoretical level.A significant contribution of this research is the proposal concerning the "Kingdom of Ends and Ahimsa." Here, the **Categorical Imperative** is regarded as the minimum moral condition that cannot be violated, while Ahimsa transcends that condition and moves toward a positive ethical ideal. This framework is especially important in the context of intergenerational ethics. From Kant's perspective, future generations must not be treated merely as means, while from Gandhi's perspective, violence must not be inflicted upon them. Together, these two principles establish a powerful moral responsibility toward future generations.Nevertheless, certain limitations remain within this integration. Kant's and Gandhi's philosophies emerged from distinct historical and philosophical contexts, making their direct unification challenging in some respects. Even so, this study demonstrates that a comparative and integrative approach can provide a new way of interpreting contemporary environmental and ethical crises. Consequently, the discussion points toward a promising theoretical direction for Ecofeminism and ethical thought.**According to Immanuel Kant, humanity must never be treated merely as a means (mere means); rather, every individual is an end in itself. In Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals (1785), he argues that moral means are necessary**. Even if a goal is good, the means used to achieve it must also be moral. Duty and moral law must never be violated. Ends do not justify the means. Even if the outcome is beneficial, immoral means remain unacceptable. Moral rules are universal and admit no exceptions.
In this context, it rgued that:

1. Duty and Moral Consciousness:

By applying Kant's concept of Practical Reason to nature, it can be argued that environmental protection should not be pursued merely for material benefits; rather, it should be regarded as a moral duty. Respect for women and care for nature should become selfless expressions of human conscience and moral responsibility.***2. Universal Dignity and the End of Exploitation:*** According to the Categorical Imperative, neither the environment nor women should ever be regarded merely as resources or objects of consumption, that is, as "means." Rather, every woman and every element of nature should be recognized as an "end" possessing its own uniqueness and dignity, thereby establishing a universal principle against exploitation. ***3. Moral Republic and Justice:*** Inspired by Kant's ideal of republicanism, there is a need for a system of governance in which nature and women are not treated merely as exploited subjects but are recognized as independent and dignified entities. Every law of the state should remain accountable to environmental and gender justice (eco-justice). ***Gandhi argued that means and ends are inseparable***. Means and ends are not separate entities; rather, "***means are ends in the making." In Hind Swaraj (1909), he stated that pure***

ends require pure means. A noble goal can only be achieved through pure means. If impure means are employed, the goal itself becomes corrupted. Ahimsa as the right means occupies the central place in ethical action. Justice and truth cannot be attained through violent methods.In this context, it may be argued that Gandhi's philosophy of the unity of means and ends can be linked with ecofeminist theory to develop an approach for protecting both the environment and women: ***1.Purity of Means and Ends:*** According to ecofeminism, if environmental protection and women's liberation constitute our goal (end), then the means employed to achieve that goal must also be non-violent and free from exploitation. Genuine ecological balance and gender equality can never be achieved through unjust or violent methods. ***2. Rejection of Violence and Pure Ethics:***

Applying Gandhi's theory of "pure means," it may be argued that no model of development can be successful if it destroys nature or exploits women's labor and identity. Rather, protecting women's dignity and caring for nature must be accepted as integral components of an ethical social order. ***3. Ahimsa as the Supreme Path:*** A struggle grounded in Ahimsa must seek to eliminate all forms of structural and psychological violence directed toward women and the environment. According to Gandhi's philosophy, truth and justice can never be realized through coercion or wrongful methods. According to Immanuel Kant, women and nature should never be treated merely as "means" but must be respected as "ends."According to Mahatma Gandhi, only moral and non-violent means should be employed in matters concerning the environment and women if the goal is to achieve justice.By integrating ***Kant's concept of duty with Gandhi's principle of Ahimsa, non-exploitative and responsible conduct emerges as the only sustainable path for the protection of women and the environment.***

Conclusion*:*

By reconsidering the ***ethical philosophies of Immanuel Kant and Mahatma Gandhi within the context of Ecofeminism***, the findings of this study systematically presented as follows:

(1) ***Both Kant and Gandhi*** place the principle of "non-harm" at the center of morality, although the difference between them is structural. Kant's Kingdom of Ends is fundamentally prohibitive in character, its central claim being that others must never be treated merely as means.Gandhi's Ahimsa, by contrast, is a positive and expanded ethical practice that emphasizes not only the avoidance of harm but also active compassion, self-discipline, and the pursuit of truth. Therefore, Gandhi extends Kant's minimum moral boundary and develops a broader ethical ideal.***(2) With regard to its integration with Ecofeminism*****,** this study demonstrates that the synthesis of Kant and Gandhi strengthens the theoretical foundation of ecofeminism.Kant's principle against instrumentalization establishes a minimum moral prohibition against exploitation.Gandhi's Ahimsa develops an active moral resistance to such exploitation and cultivates a relational sense of responsibility.As a result, the parallel between the exploitation of women and nature identified in Vandana Shiva's ecofeminist analysis becomes more philosophically coherent through the integration of these two ethical principles.***(3) Concerning intergenerational ethics*****,** the integrated framework is morally significant because the destruction of natural resources and any form of violence against women are ethically unacceptable. **Immanuel Kant:** 1)Human beings must never be treated merely as means, 2)Emphasizes human dignity and rational autonomy, 3)Moral actions must respect persons as ends in themselves. 4)Wrong means cannot be justified by good intentions. **Mahatma Gandhi:** 1)Means and ends are inseparably connected. 2)Emphasizes truth and non-violence, 3)Moral goals must be pursued through moral means. 4)Pure ends require pure means.

Taken together, these two positions indicate three levels of morality:

(1) Each individual should be Treated as an End-in-itself, not as a means to serve anothers interest. (minimum moral boundary),

(2) Non-violence (expanded ethical practice),

(3) Relational care (ecofeminist relational ethics).

Therefore, the central argument of this study is that morality is not limited to merely "not causing harm"; rather, it constitutes an active, relational, and intergenerational sphere of responsibility. From this perspective, responsibility toward future generations is not only a duty but also a profound relational moral necessity, which can be reinterpreted through an integrated reading of Kant and Gandhi.***Ecofeminism argues that the oppression of women and the exploitation of nature are interconnected. By combining Kant's principle of respecting others as ends in themselves with Gandhi's principle of Ahimsa, ecofeminism gains a stronger ethical foundation.*** This integrated perspective promotes **respect, non-violence, and care for both women and the environment,** contributing to a more just and **sustainable future**.

References:

1.Lal, B. K. (2017). Contemporary Indian philosophy (11th reprint). Motilal Banarsidass Publishers Private Limited.
2.Chakraborty, S. (2021). Nitibidyar tattyakotha. Progressive Publishers.
3. Gauba, O. P. (2019). Western political thought (4th ed.). National Paperbacks.
4. Gandhi, M. K. (2009). Hind swaraj or Indian home rule. Navajivan Publishing House. (Original work published 1909)
5. Gandhi, M. K. (1993). An autobiography: The story of my experiments with truth. Beacon Press.
6. Shiva, V. (1988). Staying alive: Women, ecology and development. Zed Books.
7. Mies, M., & Shiva, V. (2014). Ecofeminism. Zed Books. (Original work published 1993)
8. Warren, K. J. (2000). Ecofeminist philosophy: A Western perspective on what it is and why it matters. Rowman & Littlefield.
9. Gardiner, S. M. (2011). A perfect moral storm: The ethical tragedy of climate change. Oxford University Press.
10. Kant, I. (1998). Groundwork of the metaphysics of morals (M. Gregor, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1785)