INTERNATIONAL INSIGHTS
JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

www.insightresearch.org.in
Multidisciplinary, Multi-Lingual, Peer Reviewed /Refereed
Open Access Journal
Volume-3, Issue 2, April-June 2026
ISSN : 3049-0847
IMPACT FACTOR : 7.725
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19896377

# A Study of Environmental Law for Its Better Implementation and Improvement

Vivek Hembrom, Aditi Apoorva
Department of Legal Studies Jharkhand Rai University, Ranchi
Email: vivekhembrom866@gmail.com

**Abstract**

The Indian environmental law is considered to be one of the most progressive and extensive legal regulations of developing countries, but its implementation of the statute books into the practical protection is painfully poor. This paper discusses the constitutional foundation, historic laws, institutional framework, and judicial precedents that collectively constitute environmental jurisprudence in India. It follows the process of the post-Stockholm period, through the revolutionary 42nd Constitutional Amendment to the creation of the National Green Tribunal, noting how courts have continued to give life to Article 21 by accepting the right to clean environment as a part of the right to life. In spite of these successes, the paper openly explores long-standing implementation failures: endemic under-funding of pollution control boards, overlapping regulatory jurisdiction, political interference, poor post-clearance monitoring, and lack of community involvement in the decision-making processes. By examining some of the most notable judicial decisions, including the principle of absolute liability, the doctrine of polluter-pay and recent decisions in climate matters, the paper will identify the advantages and disadvantages of judicial activism. It also questions real world examples such as the long-term air pollution crisis in Delhi to the current problems of Ganga rejuvenation and forest diversion scandals.

Finally, this study contends that India lacks shortage of laws but a drastic lack of enforcement systems, accountability in institutions and political will. It provides practical, concrete solutions, such as integration of technology, capacity building, increased transparency and greater citizen involvement to curb the growing divide between law and the environment. The paper concludes that sustainable development can only be achieved when enforcement is as strong as the law itself so that future generations can enjoy the benefits of sustainable development.

**Keywords :** Institutions, Foundation, Environmental, Jurisdiction, Technology, tTansparency .

## 1. Introduction

The practical application of environmental law in a rapidly changing and fast urbanizing environment with a growing pace of climate change and a level of industrial expansion never seen before in India has become one of the most highly sought-after issues today. With its forward-thinking 42 nd Amendment, the Constitution of India explicitly acknowledged the State responsibility to conserve and enhance the natural environment (Article 48A) and gave a corresponding fundamental duty on each citizen (Article 51A(g)). The Supreme Court and the High Courts have over the decades broadly construed Article 21 to incorporate the right to lead a pollution-free life and environmental protection has thus ceased being a mere policy objective but an enforceable constitutional obligation. But, even with this solid legal base and an abundant assortment of laws, such as the Water Act of 1974 and the Environment (Protection) Act of 1986 to the more modern National Green Tribunal Act, the actual situation on the ground speaks otherwise. Industrial effluents still choke rivers, the quality of air in big cities often exceeds the safe minimum, forests are disappearing under the onslaught of development projects, and ecosystems under a threat are being permanently damaged.

This blatant lack of connection of progressive laws with their ineffective implementation is the core issue of the given work. This paper aims to conduct a methodical analysis of the environmental legal regime in India and the structural, institutional and socio-economic issues that hinder successful implementation. It examines the functions of the major stakeholders the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Central and State Pollution Control

Boards, the judiciary and the civil society and critically analyzes the recent changes in policy to balance the growth of the economy and protection of the environment. The study highlights the importance of the reform by placing the discussion in the context of sustainable development and international obligations of India. Finally, it seeks to suggest practical, viable solutions that can consolidate enforcement systems, institutional capabilities, and a more accountable population to ensure that environmental law ceases to be a mere dream and instead become a reality offering long-term, concrete protection to the natural heritage of India and the welfare of its people.

**2. constitutional and historical development of environmental law in India** The process of environmental law in India started to gain traction after the 1972 UN conference on the human environment but had started in earnest after independence. Article 48A and Article 51A(g) were added to the constitution under the 42 th Constitutional Amendment (1976) that made environmental protection a constitutional requirement. The 1980s-90s judicial activism broadened the article 21 to encompass the right to clean air and water (Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar, 1991).

Key milestones include:

Stockholm Conference influence to Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974.

Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981.

• Environment (Protection) Act (EPA), 1986 -the umprella law following the Bhopal Gas Tragedy.

Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

• Biological Diversity Act, 2002 and National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.

These legislations are an indication of transitioning to a proactive sustainable development rather than reactive pollution control.

3. Key Environmental Laws and Institutional Framework The key laws are:

• Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981: Have Central and State Pollution Control Boards (CPCB and SPCBs) to monitor and consent.

1. Environment (Protection) Act, 1986: The Central Government has the power to do everything necessary to protect the environment, and it includes setting standards, regulating industry, and penalties.

• Forest Conservation Act, 1980: Governs the diversion of forest land.

• National Green Tribunal Act, 2010: Forms a special court to expedite cases involving the environment.

It is implemented by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), CPCB, SPCBs and other expert committees. New reforms (2025) involve Environment Audit Rules that will require third-party audits and simplified consent provisions to some industries to reduce the ease of doing business and environmental protection.

**4. Judiciary and Judicial Activism The Indian judiciary has played the most powerful role of protecting the environment by Public Interest Litigation (PIL). Landmark cases include:**

M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Ganga pollution cases, Oleum Gas leak case) - brought in the principle of Absolute Liability.

Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996) -Recognized the Precautionary Principle and Polluter Pays Principle.

T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India -Expanded forest protection and sustainable development.

• Recent: M.K. Ranjitsinh v. Union of India (2024) - Established the right to climate protection under Article 21.

Judicial activism has bridged gaps in legislation, compelled executive action, though it has also brought up questions of judicial activism.

**5. National Green Tribunal (NGT) National Green Tribunal (NGT)** was set up in 2010 to offer time-bound, specialized adjudication (disposal within 6 months). It has provided ground breaking rulings on deforestation, coastal governance, waste materials and air pollution. But difficulties such as vacancies, small benches and implementing its orders remain.

**6. Implementation Problems Although there is strong legal framework, the implementation is marred by:**

1. Weak Enforcement and Monitoring: Lack of manpower and technology in SPCBs.

2. Duplicated Jurisdictions: More than one agency resulting in delays and confusion.

3. Resource Limitation and Corruption: Low funding and so-called political interference.

4. Absence of Community Awareness and Engagement: There is little community participation in EIA activities.

5. Federal-State Tensions: Tensions between development and environmental objectives.

6. Post-clearance Violations: Ineffective monitoring following Environmental Clearance (EC) is allowed.

The latest changes (20232026) that seek decriminalization and facilitation of business have cast doubt on watering down of protection.

**Recommendations on Improvement and Enhancement To close the implementation gap the following reforms are suggested:**

• Empower Institutional Capacity A really effective environmental legal regime starts with institutions that are properly endowed with resources, professionally qualified and fully empowered to perform. Currently, Central and State Pollution Control Boards are plagued by chronic understaffing, inadequate infrastructure and lack of budgetary support, which drastically reduce their capacity to perform routine inspection, sample analysis and to react promptly to infractions. The National Green Tribunal is not an exception, with empty benches all too frequently and administrative dragging feet being counterproductive to the statutory requirement of time-constrained justice. Building institutional capacity thus requires a multi-pronged strategy: a significant increase in specific funding, recruitment of technical and legal specialists and retention, performance-based incentives on field officers, and regular capacity-building initiatives. Enforcement can be shifted towards prevention rather than firefighting when regulators are equipped with qualified manpower and with the help of modern laboratories. This does not only reinstate the confidence of the people in the system but establishes a professional ecosystem where environmental compliance turns into a standard and not a once-in-a-while liability. Finally, properly endowed institutions give solemn promises on paper into real protection of rivers, forests, air we breathe, so that the environmental law can be in service of the people it was created to protect.

Technological Integration In an era of satellite imagery, IoT sensors and artificial intelligence, modern environmental governance cannot afford to be based on manual inspections and paper-based reporting. Online monitoring of industrial emissions in real-time, automated water-quality stations at rivers, drone monitoring of forests, and predictive analytics about hotspots of pollution through AI can significantly enhance detection and response time. Technology has helped in bridging the law and ground reality by giving clear and verifiable data that is not easily manipulated. As an example, the Environmental Clearance process combined with GIS-based mapping would enable regulators to monitor the after-clearance compliance with accuracy never seen before. Human factor is the key: technology should be accompanied by training to allow the officers and the communities to interpret and take action in response to the data. When implemented in a prudent way, these tools ease the overburden on understaffed agencies, minimise the chances of corruption, and provide citizens with public dashboards that reveal the local air and water quality. Technological integration does not eliminate human judgment, on the contrary, it enhances it, transforming environmental law into a proactive and reactive system instead of a framework that only responds to challenges once they have turned into a disaster.

• Third-Party Audits and Transparency Third-party audits are one of the strongest tools that could be used to regain credibility and responsibility in environmental regulation. This change is already anticipated in recent Environment Audit Rules, but the success of such rules would depend upon their stringent application, publication to the populace of reports, and safeguarding the auditors against unjustified influences. We exert healthy pressure on industry and regulator by requiring credible and accredited agencies to periodically audit compliance and make the results publicly available. Openness helps to demystify the decision-making process and enables affected communities to see the rationale behind the decision of some projects to be cleared and whether the conditions are being fulfilled. It also promotes internalisation of the environmental costs in the industry instead of externalising it. It is also a human story of trust: once citizens will be able to get verified audit data via simple digital portals, they will cease to be mere complainants and transform into active stakeholders. To ensure that the process is not made a formality, audits should have consequences of law in case of non-compliance and rewards in case of regular excellence. Third-party audits and radical transparency in this manner can turn environmental law into a closed-door affair into an open, participatory business that is truly in the best interests of the people.

• Better Public Involvement Environmental decisions touch the everyday lives of common citizens in much greater ways than they touch remote policymakers; thus, real participation of the population is no procedural nicety, but the lifeblood of democratic environmental policy. The Environmental Impact Assessment hearings need to shift to a real inclusion platform where the marginalised communities, women and indigenous people can be reached through local languages, digital platforms and mobile camps. When the consultation of people whose deserts lie in forests or rivers is made early and the interests of the people are expressed in the final clearances in a visible way the obedience is naturally enhanced as the law starts to be viewed as a common duty and not as a law that is imposed on the people. Communities can also be empowered by use of capacity-building workshops and citizen science programs to keep track of local ecosystems and report infractions. The human gain is immense: the involvement creates a sense of ownership, minimizes confrontation and secures development in line with ecological boundaries and social justice.

This will enhance the legitimacy of the implementation of the pillar of the environmental law and make the implementation more sustainable and consistent with the constitutional vision of an inclusive republic.

• Tougher Fines and Rapid Processing Although the environmental laws of India have stiff penalties on paper, in reality, they have little deterrent power since prosecutions can take years and penalties do not always reflect the extent of ecological harm inflicted. The establishment of specialised environmental courts at the district level, and a higher level of penalty which involves direct personal liability on the company directors and proportional cost of restoration would send a message that is difficult to decipher that the violation will have a cost. The balance between economic activity and ecological responsibility can be reinstated by fast-track prosecution mechanisms, trials time-limited, and the principle of polluter pays that will be implemented strictly. Nonetheless, punishment cannot be considered enough; it has to be accompanied by rehabilitation funds, which can restore the damaged ecosystems. Humanly speaking, quick and just justice will comfort communities who have suffered that their pain will not be in vain and will remind responsible industries that being ethical is the better business alternative. By being able to offer speed and proportionality in the legal system, the environmental law will restore its moral power and become an acceptable protector of the common good.

Capacity Building and Awareness Laws can only succeed when their people who are governed by these laws internalise their spirit. Environmental education should thus be part and parcel of school education, of bureaucrat training courses, of corporate compliance programmes. A culture of compliance through awareness programs that relate what is abstractly law to the realities of daily life, such as how industrial effluents impact on the well of a farmer or how deforestation increases flooding, can be developed by a culture of compliance based on empathy, rather than fear. Green subsidies, tax rebates on sustainable operations, and government rewards to environmentally friendly industries are other incentive schemes that support positive behaviour. There is also need to have judges, lawyers and enforcement officers have regular refresher courses on any emerging scientific and legal development to ensure that implementation is up to date. The human aspect is evident: voluntary compliance will be higher and enforcement will be less expensive when citizens and officials begin to consider themselves stewards, rather than subjects of environmental law. Capacity building and awareness therefore constitute the formless basis on which all the other reforms are based and which subtly changes the environmental protection into a national ethos.

Better Centre-State Coordination The federalism of India is a strength in many aspects, but it frequently creates conflict in the areas of environmental governance since development priorities vary in the states. Overlapping and conflict can be minimised through a detailed National Environmental Policy supported by well-defined accountability frameworks, shared monitoring committees, and unified standards. Conclaves with the state governments on a regular basis, with the help of a special coordination cell, should enable the real time resolution of problems like pollution of inter-state rivers or even diversion of forests. Cooperation instead of confrontation occurs when the Centre gives technical and financial support without interfering in state autonomy. Human perspective is that as citizens, we are the most affected when Centre and states accuse one another; and smooth coordination means that environmental law provides the same level of protection, no matter the geographical location. Finally, enhanced federal cooperation will see India rise to its environmental challenges with the same purpose with which it has been unified in its constitutional structure.

• Climate Change Integration Environmental law in India can no longer afford climate change an adjunctary status, it is now obligated to be the organising principle of all statutes, clearances and enforcement activities. To meet the domestic laws with the net-zero pledge of 2070 of India and international commitments under the Paris Agreement, it is necessary to mainstream climate risk assessment as part of the Environmental Impact Assessments, revise coastal regulation and forest policies to reflect the increase in temperatures, and establish special climate funds in vulnerable areas. Regulators and judges need to be trained to use the precautionary principle to uncertainties related to climate. The fact on the ground is grim, millions of Indians already are exposed to extreme weather, sea height, and endangered livelihoods. By incorporating climate factors, it will make the environmental law not only to protect nature but to protect the conditions that support human life and honor. This progressive reform will make India a global leader that will not only talk about sustainable development but will also help assure a livable future to its children.

## Conclusion

The environmental law in India has grown and is currently one of the most advanced in the developing world. The legal framework is unarguably sound, starting with the constitutional requirement under Articles 48A and 51A(g) to the extensive legislation that followed the Stockholm Conference and the Bhopal tragedy and the groundbreaking judicial decision that incorporated the right to a clean environment in Article 21 and the establishment of the National

Green Tribunal. The main contradiction, however, is that, in spite of the progressive and forward-looking nature of the law, on paper, the execution of the law on the ground is still falling excruciatingly short of the mark. The rivers continue to flow with contamination, cities are choked with unhealthy air, forests are cut down to temporary profits, and communities at risk have to suffer the most due to the ecological indifference.

This paper has indicated that lack of legislation is not the issue but the endemic ineffectiveness of enforcement mechanisms, institutional capacity, political will and public engagement. The vacuum has been filled again and again by judicial activism and the NGT, but the courts cannot and should not rule alone. The eight tangible reforms in the section above, including institutional fortification and technological assimilation, increased public engagement, and climate mainstreaming provide a viable, feasible route to bridge the growing gap between ambition and reality.

Finally, the environmental law is not just a code of conduct; it is a serious agreement between the current generation and the future one. Installed with good intentions, openness, and determination, it can guarantee clean air, clean water, and healthy ecosystems to millions of Indians. We have a decision to make: we can either leave the law alone as a beautiful yet useless paper, or we can make it a living law of justice and sustainability. Change is not tomorrow, but now. It is only then that India will be able to give due respect to its constitutional dream of a healthy environment as an irrevocable component of the right to life and dignity.

## References


1. Leelakrishnan, P. (2024). Environmental Law in India (7 th ed. LexisNexis.
2. Divan, Shyam. (2023). Cases, Materials and Statutes Environmental Law and Policy in India (3 rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
3. Ghosh, Shibani. (2023). Indian Environment Regulation: Design, Capacity and Performance. In Environment and Regulation Law in India. Hart Publishing.
4. Verma, Ashish. (2021). The Environment in India: Law, Problems and Issues in the enforcement of the law. Study Intention: An International Multidisciplinary e-Journal, 5(5), 111.
5. Soren, A.A. (2025). A study of Environment Laws and Environmental policy implementation in India. The Rubrics Journal, 2(1), 45–62.
6. Chakravarty, A. (2024). The Change that India requires in environmental governance. William and Mary Environmental Law and Policy Review, 48(2) 321-356.
7. Sehrawat, Prashant. (2024). Law of Environment in India: Issues and Problems in the implementation of the law. Sushant University Law Review, 10(2), 78–89.
8. Srivastav, Pranjal. (2024). Implementing the Environmental Policies in India: Constitutional Problems. Indian Journal of Law and Public Policy, 7(1), 112125.
9. Dalal, Mansi. (2025). Climate Change commitments of India: Legal impediments to the enforcement of the Paris agreement. International Journal of Legal Research and Analysis, 6(3) 4568.
10. Rajwadkar, Lt. Gaurang. (2024). Comparative Critical Analysis of Environmental Laws of India and their Implementation. Zenodo Open Repository.
11. Bhat, Sairam. (2019). Paradox of Environmental Federalism in India. National Law School of India University Centre of Environmental Law.
12. Chilbule, Dhanshree & Kumar, D.S. (2022). Research on the Application of National Green Tribunal: Problems and difficulties. J Pharmaceutical Sciences and Practice, 6(6), 65856591.
13. Sankar, U. (1998). Indian legislation and institutions regarding environmental protection. Working Paper of Madras School of Economics.
14. Chandra, M. (2015). Environmental issues in India: Problems and Solution. Hofstra Journal of International Business and Law, 14(2), 145178.
15. Gill, Gitanjali Nain. (2020). Power Struggles: Mapping of the National Green Tribunal of India: The Rise and Fall. Asian Journal of Law and Society, 7(2) 1-28.
16. Tarseemsingh Sidhu, Dr. Vivek & Saiyed, Suhail. (2025). Environmental Laws in India: Formation, Implementation and difficulties. JETNR Journal of emerging technologies and innovative research.
17. Devarhubli, Gururaj. (2023). Efficacy of National Green Tribunal in Environmental Adjudication: An Empirical Research. International Journal of Juridical Research.
18. Mehta, Dhvani. (2022). India: Environmental Law and Policy: Implementation Problems. Centre of Policy Research, New Delhi.
19. Tiwari, H.N. (2024). Judiciary role in enhancing environmental governance in India. Indian Journal of Environmental Law, 15(1), 34 56.
20. Shastri, S.C. (2023). Environmental Law in India (6th ed.). Eastern Book Company.